

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/666,920	HAKKINEN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert C. Scheibel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2666

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James Retter.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 December 2004

**Time:** 9:00 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 1*

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner requested authorization to make two changes to claim 1 for clarity. Mr. Retter agreed to the changes which are detailed in the attached examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 12-13-04

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)